

Problem Set V: Due Wednesday, November 30, 2016

FW=Fetter and Walecka

- 1.) For a scalar field $\phi(\underline{x}, t)$ with Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_t \phi, \nabla \phi)$,
- Derive the general Lagrangian equations of motion.
 - Define a Hamiltonian density and derive the Hamiltonian equations of motion.
 - For $\delta \mathcal{L} / \delta \nabla \phi = -\gamma P_0 \nabla \phi$, $\delta \mathcal{L} / \delta \partial_t \phi = \rho_0 \partial_t \phi$, $\delta \mathcal{L} / \delta \phi = 0$, derive the EOM. What physical system might this correspond to? What is the physical meaning of ϕ ?
 - Derive the energy theorem for the system in c.).
- 2.) Consider a string of length L and mass-per-length μ which is, as usual, clamped at both ends. Assume the tension is T .

Express the Hamilton in terms of the Fourier coefficients, thereby converting the problem to one of particle dynamics. (Hint: Expand the displacement in terms of the *spatial* eigenfunctions.) Derive the Hamiltonian EOMs. What do these equations correspond to in Quantum Mechanics?

- 3.)
- Generalize the derivation of the nonlinear wave equation for a string to that for a 2D membrane (i.e. drum head), with clamped boundary. Show that you recover the wave equation in the linear limit. See FW, Chapter 8.
 - Derive the energy conservation equation for linear waves on this membrane.
- 4.) Show that

$$G_i = - \int \sum_k \pi_k \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x_i} dV$$

is a constant of the motion if the Hamiltonian density is not an explicit function of position. The quantity G_i can be identified as the total linear momentum of the field along the x_i direction. The similarity of this theorem with the usual conservation theorem for linear momentum of discrete systems is obvious.

- 5.) Consider a 1D system, with ϕ fixed at endpoints, such that:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\mu}{2}(\partial_t \phi)^2 - \frac{T}{2}(\partial_x \phi)^2 - m^2 \frac{\phi^2}{2}$$

- a.) Derive the L EOMs and calculate the dispersion relation of the waves the system supports.
 - b.) Take the length of the system L very large, so an eikonal approach is valid. Calculate the wave action density two different ways. One of these approaches should start from the Lagrangian density.
 - c.) Now take $m^2 = m^2(x)$ and *slowly* varying in space. How will the wave amplitude change as a packet impinges on a region of increasing $m^2(x)$?
- 6.) FW 4.13
- 7.) FW 4.16